



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,368	12/15/2000	Byron C. Gehman	AUS920000810US1	9818

7590 08/30/2005

Frank C. Nicholas
CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, IL 60201

EXAMINER

ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2165

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/738,368

Applicant(s)

GEHMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Neveen Abel-Jalil

Art Unit

2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Paul Hletko (Attorney of Record).

(3) _____.

(2) Neveen Abel-Jalil.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Strauble et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,446,077 B2) and Bose et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0042830 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

PD

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant request the After-Final interview to discuss the difference between the cited art and claim 21 in particular the recitation of "an event client server operable to notify at least one directory client based on the replication to each replicate directory database" stating that Stauble et al. does not teach sending the event message once replication is complete, the Examiner maintains that Stauble et al. teaches in column 7, lines 1-17, wherein the argued limitation is clearly disclosed. The Applicant also argued that non of the cited references teach a client directory explicitly.

The Examiner maintains the rejection since Stauble et al. column 10, lines 64-67, and column 11, lines 1-6 as well as Bose et al. page 9, paragraph 0083 by teaching that the server maintains a directory it is well known in a client-server enviroment, the client must maintain a directory as well to be able to communicate and synchronize with the server. No agreement was reached.